

ΠΕ1.2 Εκπόνηση μελετών και ερευνών

Π1.2.2: Αναφορά βιβλιογραφίας

ΣΤΟ ΠΛΑΙΣΙΟ ΤΟΥ ΕΡΓΟΥ

«Γραφείο Υποστήριξης της Διδασκαλίας και Μάθησης
Πανεπιστημίου Μακεδονίας, κωδικός ΟΠΣ (MIS) 5162366»

Π1.2.2: Αναφορά βιβλιογραφίας

ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟ ΠΑΡΑΔΟΤΕΟΥ

Αναζήτηση βιβλιογραφίας σχετικά σχετικά με μελέτες πάνω σε
διδασκτικές προσεγγίσεις και τεχνικές στις οικονομικές
επιστήμες και την ενσωμάτωση της έρευνας στη διδασκαλία

Αικατερίνη Κύρτσου
Καθηγήτρια
Τμήμα Οικονομικών Επιστημών

Περιεχόμενα

Ενότητα: 1 ^η Τεχνικές διδασκαλίας και η θέση της διάλεξης στη διδασκαλία των οικονομικών	2
Ενότητα 2 ^η : Διδασκαλία σε μικρά ακροατήρια	4
Ενότητα 3 ^η : Η μελέτη περίπτωσης ως τεχνική διδασκαλίας	5
Ενότητα 4 ^η : Η εκμάθηση μέσω πρακτικών ασκήσεων και εφαρμογών ως τεχνική διδασκαλίας.....	7

Ενότητα: 1^η Τεχνικές διδασκαλίας και η θέση της διάλεξης στη διδασκαλία των οικονομικών

1. Badger, R.(2001): Note perfect: an investigation of how students view taking notes in lectures, System. 29(3): 405-417. DOI 10.1016/S0346-251X(01)00028-8
2. Biggs, J. (2001): Teaching for Quality Learning at University. (Milton Keynes: The Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press).

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/215915395_Teaching_for_Quality_Learning_at_University

3. Davies, P., Hodkinson, S. and Reynolds, P (2000); (Eds.) Innovative Approaches to Learning and Teaching in Economics and Business Education, (Stoke-on-Trent: Staffordshire University Press).
4. Freeman, S., Eddy, S.L., McDonough, M., Smith, M.K., Okorafor, N., Jordt, H., and Wenderoth, M.P., (2014): Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS), 111 (23), 8410–8415.DOI 10.1073/pnas.1319030111
<https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
5. Hodgson, V. (1984): Learning from Lectures, in Marton, F., Hounsell, D., Entwistle, N. (Eds.) The Experience of Learning. (Edinburgh: Scottish Academic Press).
<https://www.ed.ac.uk/institute-academic-development/learning-teaching/staff/experience-of-learning>
6. Jenkins, A. (1992): Break up your lectures: one way of lecturing to large groups, Teaching & Learning Bulletin. 8: 2-5.
7. Johnson, M. and Robson, D. (2008): Clickers, Student Engagement and

Performance in an Introductory Economics Course: a Cautionary Tale, Computers in Higher Education Economics Review, Vol. 20, Issue 1, pp. 4–12.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46553355_Clickers_Student_Engagement_and_Performance_in_an_Introductory_Economics_Course_a_Cautionary_Tale

8. Maloney, M. and Lally, B. (1998): The relationship between attendance at university lectures and examination performance, Irish Journal of Education. 29: 52-62. JSTOR 30071605 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/30071605>
9. Sutton, P. (2000): Using lecture notes on the internet as learning support materials for lectures: student and staff perspectives on note-taking, Psychology Teaching Review. 9(1): 26-37.
10. Tam, M., Koo, A. and Wong Leung, R.. (1993): Improving lectures by using interactive handouts, British Journal of Educational Technology. 24(2): 139-145. DOI 10.1111/j.1467- 8535.1993.tb00680.x
11. van Dijk, L., van den Berg, G. and van Keulen, H. (1999): Using active instructional methods in lectures: a matter of skills and preferences. Innovations in Education & Training International. 36(4): 260-272. DOI 10.1080/1355800990360402
12. Walstad, B. and Saunders, P. (1998) (Eds.) Teaching Undergraduate Economics. (Irwin McGraw-Hill). <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/epdf/10.1080/00220489809595931?needAccess=true>
13. Wrege, C. (1995): F. W. Taylor's lecture on management, 4 June 1907: an introduction, Journal of Management History. 1(1): 4-7. DOI 10.1108/1355252951008279

Ενότητα 2^η: Διδασκαλία σε μικρά ακροατήρια

1. Dennick, R. and Exley, K. (2004): Small group teaching, Routledge.
2. Guest, J. (2015): Reflections on ten years of using economics games and experiments in teaching, Cogent Economics and Finance, DOI10.1080/23322039.2015.1115619
3. Springer, L., Donovan, S. and Stanne, M. (1999): Effects of small-group learning on undergraduates in science, mathematics, engineering, and technology: a meta-analysis, Review of Educational Research, 69(1), 21-51.
<https://www2.math.upenn.edu/~pemantle/active-papers/springer-stanne-donovan.pdf>
4. Tiberius, R. (1999): Small group teaching: a trouble-shooting guide, Routledge.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315042213/small-group-teaching-richard-tiberius>

Ενότητα 3^η: Η μελέτη περίπτωσης ως τεχνική διδασκαλίας

1. Becker, W. (1998): Engaging students in quantitative analysis with short case examples from the academic and popular press, *American Economic Review, Papers and Proceedings*, vol. 88, pp. 480–6.
2. Becker, W. and Watts, M. (2001): Teaching methods in US undergraduate economics courses, *Journal of Economic Education*, vol. 32, pp. 269–80.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00220480109596108>
3. Bloom, B. S. (Ed). (1956): *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives Handbook 1: Cognitive Domain*. New York: Longman, Green and Co.
4. Boehrer, J. (1995): How to teach a case, Kennedy School of Government Case Programme, case no. C18-95-1285.0.
5. Brown, J., Collins, A. and Duguid, P. (1989): Situated cognition and the culture of learning, *Educational Researcher*, vol. 181, pp. 34–42.
6. Carlson, J. (1999): A case method for teaching statistics, *Journal of Economic Education*, vol. 30, pp. 52–8. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1183033>
7. Carlson, J. and Schodt, D. (1995): Beyond the lecture: case teaching and the learning of economic theory, *Journal of Economic Education*, vol. 26, pp. 17–28.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00220485.1995.10844853>
8. Gomez-Ibañez, J. (1986): Learning by the case method, Kennedy School of Government Case Programme, case no. N15-86-1136.0.
9. Lundberg, C. C. (1993): A framework for student case preparation, *Case Research Journal*, vol. 13 (summer), pp. 132–44.
<https://home.ubalt.edu/ntsbpitt/framework.pdf>
10. Robyn, D. (1986): What makes a good case?, Kennedy School of Government

Case Programme, case no. N15-86-673.0.

11. Shapiro, B. P. (1984): Hints for case teaching, Harvard Business School, 9-585-012.
Siegfried, J. J., Bartlett, R., Hansen, W. L., Kelley, A. C., McCloskey, D. N.,
Tietenberg, T. H.
12. (1991): The status and prospects of the economics major, Journal of Economic
Education, vol. 22, pp. 195–224. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1183106>
13. Velenchik, A. D. (1995): The case method as a strategy for teaching policy
analysis to undergraduates, Journal of Economic Education, vol. 26, pp. 29–38.
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270374336_The_Case_Method_as_a
Strategy_for_Teaching_Policy_Analysis_to_Undergraduates](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270374336_The_Case_Method_as_a_Strategy_for_Teaching_Policy_Analysis_to_Undergraduates)
14. Zimmerman, P. B. (1985): Case development and teaching: communicating the
results, Kennedy School of Government Case Programme, case no. N15-85-653.

Ενότητα 4^η: Η εκμάθηση μέσω πρακτικών ασκήσεων και εφαρμογών ως τεχνική διδασκαλίας

1. Barrows, H. (1986): A taxonomy of problem-based learning methods, *Medical Education*, 20, pp. 481-6.
2. Becker, W. (1997): Teaching economics to undergraduates, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35, pp. 1347–73.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2729980?seq=7>
3. Becker, W. and Watts, M. (1996): Chalk and talk: a national survey on teaching undergraduate economics, *American Economic Review*, 86, (2), Papers and Proceedings, pp. 448–53.
4. Bouhuijs, P. and Gijsselaers, W. (1993): Course construction in problem-based learning, in Bouhuijs, P and Schmidt, H. (1993), *Problem-Based Learning as an Educational Strategy*, Network Publications, Maastricht, ch. 5.
5. Cohn, E. and Cohn, S. (1994): Graphs and learning in principles of economics, *American Economic Review*, 84, (2), Papers & Proceedings, pp. 197-200.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2117828>
6. Dochy, F., Segers, M., Van den Bossche, P., and Gijbels, D. (2003): Effects of problem-based learning: A meta-analysis, *Learning and Instruction*, 13, pp. 533-568. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.3102/00346543075001027>
7. Gibbs, G. (1992): *Improving the Quality of Student Learning*, Technical and Educational Services Ltd, Bristol.
8. Thomas, J. (2000): A review of research on project-based learning, The Autodesk Foundation, San Rafael, California, at:
<https://www.bie.org/files/researchreviewPBL.pdf>
9. Van den Bossche, P., Segers, M., Gijbels, D. and Dochy, F. (2004): Effects of

problem-based learning in business education: a comparison between a PBL and a conventional approach, in Ottewill, R et al (Eds), Educational Innovation in Economics and Business, Volume VIII, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 205-227. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-017-1386-3_13

10. Vernon, D. and Blake, R. (1993): Does problem-based learning work? A meta-analysis of evaluative research, Academic Medicine, 68, pp. 550-563